Ocular Manifestations in Chronic Renal Failure Patients
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ABSTRACT
The study was done during a period of two years from November 2011 to September 2013 on 200 consecutive patients who were admitted to the nephrology ward, Kurnool medical college, Kurnool and met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria: All cases diagnosed as chronic renal failure. Exclusion criteria: Cases of reversible renal failure, Patients on peritonial dialysis.

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a worldwide public health problem, both for the number of patients and cost of treatment involved. Globally, CKD is the 12th cause of death and the 17th cause of disability, respectively. The chronic diseases account for 60% of all deaths worldwide. 80% of chronic disease deaths worldwide occur in low and middle socio economic countries. In India the projected number of deaths due to chronic disease was around 5.21 million in 2008 and is expected to raise to 7.63 million in 2020 (66.7% of all deaths). As a part of multi organ involvement, eye is not an exception. The common Ocular manifestations include some anterior segment manifestations like lid puffiness, conjunctival pallor, conjunctival &corneal calcification, posterior segment manifestations like hypertensive retinopathy, diabetic retinopathy, CSME, maculopathy, retinal detachment.

Chronic renal failure is irreversible and progressive process that results in end stage renal disease where patient has to be dependent on renal replacement therapy for survival.

The most common cause of CRF is diabetic nephropathy followed by hypertensive nephro angiosclerosis and various primary and secondary glomerulo nephropathies. This study is an attempt to access the ocular status/complications associated with CRF.

To analyse the ocular status/complications in the patients with chronic renal failure. To screen patients for any potential visual threat so that necessary treatment and or advice can be given before they become irreversibly visually impaired.

Admissions due to CRF (Chronic renal failure) the patients were 1308 (69.5%) the common age affected being 40 to 80 years (65%) male dominant 143 patients (71.5%), CRF with DM 55 patients (27.5%).
with HTN 45 patients (22.5%), patients with diminished vision 124 patients (62%) patients with DM, Maculopathy 39 patients (9.75%), patients with PDR 24 patients (5.8%), Conjunctival Pallor 152 patients (75.60%), Lid Oedema 126 patients (63%) Diabatic retinopathy 64 patients (88.8%), Hypertensive retinopathy 31 patients (47%). These above results are observed by us in our study.

we aimed to prospectively analyze the ocular manifestations among CRF patients. A detailed history, detailed ophthalmic examination was done for all the cases and recorded. When CRF patients were evaluated the patients in the age group of 40-80 years were commonly affected. There was a definite male preponderance among the cases. The most common aetiological factor leading to CRF in these patients is diabetes mellitus followed by hypertension and some are due to glomerulonephritis and Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. Diminished vision was the most common symptom seen with CRF patients and it was commonly attributed to maculopathy followed by cataract and PDR. Lid puffiness and conjunctival pallor were the most common signs observed in CRF patients. These findings are statistically significant and can be regarded as consistent finding in CRF. Among the posterior segment signs hypertensive retinopathy findings are most common followed by diabetic retinopathy. Ocular manifestations are common in CRF patients. The most frequently encountered manifestations in CRF is conjunctival pallor and lid edema. The most common cause of CRF in our study is diabetes mellitus. Regular screening for diabetic retinopathy in cases of CRF can help patients with early intervention with laser photocoagulation and alert physician for more aggressive management of diabetes. Ocular condition is an indicator of the metabolic control of the disease process.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a worldwide public health problem, both for the number of patients and cost of treatment involved. Globally, CKD is the 12th cause of death and the 17th cause of disability, respectively. The chronic diseases account for 60% of all deaths worldwide. 80% of chronic disease deaths worldwide occur in low and middle socio economic countries. In India the projected number of deaths due to chronic disease was around 5.21 million in 2008 and is expected to raise to 7.63 million in 2020 (66.7% of all deaths). As a part of multi organ involvement, eye is not an exception. The common Ocular manifestations include some anterior segment manifestations like lid puffiness, conjunctival pallor, conjunctival & corneal calcification, posterior segment manifestations like hypertensive retinopathy, diabetic retinopathy, CSME, maculopathy, retinal detachment. Unlike other organs, all the consequences can be directly related in the eye as renal cortex is mirror of retina. As per the Diabetes Atlas 2006, the number of patients with DM in India (currently around 40.9 million) is expected to rise to 69.9 million by 2025 unless urgent preventive measures are taken. With increasing prevalence of CKD, CKD related excess CVD, ESRD and the consequent financial burden of renal replacement therapy (RRT), the importance of CKD and its risk factors has to be realized. The prevalence of ESRD and patients on RRT has increased over last two decades.
Chronic renal failure is irreversible and progressive process that results in end stage renal disease where patient has to be dependent on renal replacement therapy for survival. It is characterised by a numerous disorders that involve many organs: bone, heart and blood vessels, peripheral nerves. In dialysis patients some disorders could be consequence of dialysis treatment perse.

The most common cause of CRF is diabetic nephropathy followed by hypertensive nephroangiosclerosis and various primary and secondary glomerulonephropathies. by the ESRD, 80% of patients will have developed secondary hypertension. Ocular morbidity may be directly due to hypertension, uremia and anaemia, some are related to the causes leading to chronic renal failure. Some effects are due to haemodialysis.

This study is an attempt to assess the ocular status/complications associated with CRF. It is intended to highlight the importance of ocular examination, to screen patients for any potential visual threat so that necessary treatment and or advice can be given before they become irreversibly visually impaired.

MATERIALS & METHODS

A. Source of data:
The study was done during a period of two years from November 2011 to September 2013 on 200 consecutive patients who were admitted to the nephrology ward, Kurnool medical college, Kurnool and met the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria:

All cases diagnosed as chronic renal failure.

Exclusion criteria:

2. Patients on peritonial dialysis.

B. Method of collection of data:

1. The study was prospective in nature in which 200 cases of CRF from November 2011 to september 2013 were selected.
2. A prepared proforma was used for collection of data.

3. This study included all chronic renal failure disease patients visited nephrology ward, G. G. H, Kurnool between November 2011 to September 2013.

4. Ophthalmic examination included:
   a. History taken.
   b. Best corrected visual acuity by snellen’s chart.
   c. Detailed examination of anterior & posterior segments.
   d. Pupils were dilated with tropicamide for
      - indirect ophthalmoscope
      - direct ophthalmoscope.
      - evaluation in slit lamp by +90D lens.

5. Hypertensive retinopathy was graded on basis of keith Wagener classification.

6. Diabetic retinopathy and macular edema were classified on basis of early treatment diabetic retinopathy study.

7. Other investigations done according to need were
8. Other Investigations required in this study:-

- fundus fluorescein angiography.
- visual fields.
- fundus photography.
- schirmer’s test.

Hb%, TC, DC, ESR.
- Blood urea, serum creatinine.
- serum calcium, phosphorous.
- serum sodium, potassium.
- urine routine.
- lipid profile.
- RBS, FBS, PPBS.
- ultrasound abdomen.
- GFR calculation by Cockcroft–gault equation.

9. Statistical methods used:

First measures of central tendency and dispersion like mean and standard deviation were used to analyze the data. Frequency of anterior & posterior segment findings in the population studied is calculated.

Then each of variables studied i.e., stage of CRF, blood sugar, hypertension, visual impairment.

RESULTS

TABLE 1: Incidence of CRF in our hospital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Admissions From Nov 2011 To Sep 2013</th>
<th>No. Of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Total number of admissions in nephrology department</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Admissions due to CRF</td>
<td>1308</td>
<td>69.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADMISSION RATE: In the present study CRF contributed to 69.5% our nephrology department admissions during our study period.

TABLE 2: Distribution Of Patients In Nephrology Department & Their Ages: (Age Wise Distribution)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>No. Of Cases</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>7 (3.5%)</td>
<td>12.66666667</td>
<td>7.571877794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>63 (31.5%)</td>
<td>31.13793103</td>
<td>5.507943212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-80</td>
<td>130 (65%)</td>
<td>54.10294118</td>
<td>7.58462645</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGE INCIDENCE: 200 patients who were admitted to the nephrology department, GGH, kurnool and met the inclusion & exclusion criteria were included in our study of which males are more commoner than females. Their ages ranged from 40 to 80 with a mean age of 54.1 years and a standard deviation of 7.58 years.

This is similar to the study done by L. Bajracharya et al who observed that maximum incidence was in the age groups of 48.3 ±14.9 years.

TABLE 3: Incidence of Crf According to The Sex:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>No. Of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>71.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the present study male patient constituted 143, female patients constituted of the study group 57 & M:F ratio is 2.5:1. It is in consistent with the study of L Bajracharya et al who observed the male: female ratio was 2.3:1. The reason for this could be
due to faster rate of deterioration of kidney function in male with some forms of glomerulonephritis and polycystic kidney disease.

**TABLE4: Etiology Of Crf At Admission:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>No. Of Patients</th>
<th>Percentage(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRF with diabetes</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF with hypertension</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF with DM-HTN</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF due to pyelonephritis</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF with ADPKD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF with BOO</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF with nephritic syndrome</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF with glomerulonephritis</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF (drug induced)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Etiology of CRF at admission:**

In our present study the most common etiological factor leading to CRF was DM which constituted for 27.5% and it is followed by HTN which constituted for 22.5%. It is not substantiated with the study of L.Bajracharya et al where the commonest cause of CRF was HTN 43 out of 119(36.1%), followed by DM(27.7%) and glomerulonephritis(20.2%).

**TABLE5: Staging Of Crf Patients Using Cockcrouft-Gault Formula:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>No. Of Patients</th>
<th>Percentage(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1&amp;2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study stage 1,2 CRF accounted for 26%, stage3 CRF accounting for 26%, stage4 CRF for 23.5%,ESRD for 24.5%.

**TABLE6: Ocular Symptoms:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>No. Of Patients</th>
<th>Percentage(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diminished Vision</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Eyes</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritable Symptoms</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most common complaints observered diminished vision accounted for 62% followed by red eyes for 29% and irritable symptoms for 12%.

**TABLE7: Visual Acuity:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO criteria</th>
<th>Visual acuity</th>
<th>Stage1 &amp; 2104 eyes</th>
<th>Stage3 104 eyes</th>
<th>Stage4 94 eyes</th>
<th>Stage5 98 eyes</th>
<th>Total 400 eyes</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good vision</td>
<td>&lt;6/18</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired vision</td>
<td>6/60 to 6/24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legally blind</td>
<td>&gt;6/60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total eyes</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi-square value: 16.03342029  P value: 0.01357570722 Significant
This table shows best corrected visual acuity. 47.5% of the total patients were enrolled were with vision 6/18 or better. According to WHO criteria, 25.5% were visually impaired and another 27% were in the category of legally blind.

**TABLE 8: Causes Of Visual Impairment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes</th>
<th>No. Of Eyes</th>
<th>Percentage Of Total Eyes (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maculopathy</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDR</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optic neuropathy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal scar</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>95</strong></td>
<td><strong>23.75</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our present study the causes of visual impairment is mainly due to maculopathy 9.75%, followed by cataract which accounts for 5.8%, followed by PDR accounted for 3.75% . It is similar to the observation seen by L. Bajracharya et al which showed maculopathy as cause of visual impairment in 23 eyes out of 238 eyes (9.7%), followed by cataract in 14 (5.9%) eyes, PDR in 9 eyes (3.8%).

**OCULAR MANIFESTATIONS:**

**TABLE 9: Anterior Segment Manifestations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grades Of Crf</th>
<th>Stage (1&amp;2)</th>
<th>Stage(3)</th>
<th>Stage (4)</th>
<th>Esrd(5)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>P- Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lid edema</td>
<td>52 cases</td>
<td>52 cases</td>
<td>47 cases</td>
<td>49 cases</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>0.0039 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjuctival pallor</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>75.60%</td>
<td>0.0011 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal calcification</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 eyes</td>
<td>7 eyes</td>
<td>1.75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pingecula</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>39.50%</td>
<td>0.0368 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red eyes</td>
<td>2 eyes</td>
<td>3 eyes</td>
<td>3 eyes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 eyes</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0.128 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry eyes</td>
<td>10 eyes</td>
<td>3 eyes</td>
<td>10 eyes</td>
<td>7 eyes</td>
<td>30 eyes</td>
<td>7.50%</td>
<td>0.069 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>10 eyes</td>
<td>7 eyes</td>
<td>7 eyes</td>
<td>5 eyes</td>
<td>29 eyes</td>
<td>7.25%</td>
<td>0.048 S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chi-square value: 44.76153211  P value: 0.000448 Significant**

Lid puffiness was the most common sign observed in eyelid manifestations i.e., 63% . It is in consistent with the findings observed by L. Bajracharya et al which was 63% of total cases. Conjunctiva pallor was the most common sign observed in this study 75.6% . Followed by pingecula and dry eye which is
in consistent with the observations of L. Bajracharya et al, where it was 75.6% of total cases. being statistically significant they can be regarded as consistent finding in CRF.

**TABLE 10: Posterior Segment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ocular Findings</th>
<th>Stage1&amp;2 (52 Cases)</th>
<th>Stage3 (52 Cases)</th>
<th>Stage4 (47 Cases)</th>
<th>Stage5 (49 Cases)</th>
<th>Total (Cases)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vitreous hemorrhage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic proliferative vitreo retinopathic changes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5eyes</td>
<td>2eyes</td>
<td>3eyes</td>
<td>10eyes</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diabetic retinopathy</td>
<td>22 out of 22</td>
<td>18 out of 25</td>
<td>12 out of 13</td>
<td>12 out of 12</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>88.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive retinopathy</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maculopathy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullous RD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3eyes</td>
<td>3eyes</td>
<td>0.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRVO</td>
<td>2eyes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2eyes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4eyes</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallor of disc</td>
<td>2eyes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3eyes</td>
<td>7eyes</td>
<td>12eyes</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc edema(grade 4 HTN)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glaucoma suspect</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi square value: 30.6122296  
P Value: < 0.00001 Significant

**Hypertensive retinopathy:**

In our study 47% of total patients with CRF had hypertensive retinopathy. Grade 4 hypertensive retinopathy with optic disc edema was present only in severe CRF. Grade 4 hypertensive retinopathy occurred in 7 patients with stage 4 and stage 5 CRF.

**Diabetic retinopathy:**

In the present study 89% of total diabetic patients with CRF had diabetic retinopathy.

**Bullous retinal detachment:**

There were 3 eyes of one bilateral, exudative type retinal detachment in patients with severe grade of renal disease.
TABLE 11: Comparision Of Grades Of Htn Retinopathy With Crf:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grades</th>
<th>Stage1&amp;2</th>
<th>Stage3</th>
<th>Stage4</th>
<th>Esrd(Stage5)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cases with HTN</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi square value: 16.97757669    P Value: 0.049068283    Significant

In our study 47% of total patients with CRF had hypertensive retinopathy. Grade 4 hypertensive retinopathy with optic disc edema was present only in severe CRF. Grade 4 hypertensive retinopathy occurred in 7 patients with stage 4 and stage 5 CRF.

TABLE 12: Comparision Of Grades Of Dr Among Stages Of Crf:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grades</th>
<th>Stage 1&amp;2 22 Cases</th>
<th>Stage3 25 Cases</th>
<th>Stage4 13 Cases</th>
<th>Stage5 12 Cases</th>
<th>Total 72 Cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MILD</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODERATE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEVERE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERY SEVERE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR PDR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL CASES WITH DR</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi square value: 14.50000561    P Value: 0.4879947398    No Significant

Mild diabetic retinopathy was mostly seen in stage 1 and 2 CRF but moderate, severe and PDR were seen in higher stages of CRF.
DISCUSSION

200 patients who were admitted to the nephrology unit of Kurnool medical college, Kurnool and met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were included in our study.

**Admission rate:** during our study period, total number of admissions in nephrology department were 1880, out of which CRF patients contributed for 1308.

**Age incidence:**

CRF can occur at any age group, in the present study, age group of 40-80 years constituted 65% of total cases.

This is similar to the study done by L. bajaracharya et al who observed that the maximum incidence was in the age group of 48.3+/−14.9 years.

**Sex incidence:**

In the present study male patients constituted for 143 and female patients constituted 57 out of 200 patients. Of the study group and the male to female ratio is 2.5:1 and it is consistent with the study of L. bajaracharya et al who observed that the male to female ratio was 2.3:1 ⁵⁸. The reason for this may be due to faster rate of deterioration of kidney function in male with some forms of glomerulonephritis and polycystic kidney disease.

**Etiology of CRF at admission**

In the present study the most common etiological factors leading to CRF was diabetes mellitus, it constituted 55 and it is followed by hypertension which constituted to 55.

These observations are not in consistent with the study of L. bajaracharya et al where the commonest cause of CRF was hypertension, 43 out of 119 (36.1%), followed by diabetes mellitus (27.7%) and glomerulonephritis (20.2%) ⁵⁸.

**Ocular symptoms in CRF patients:**

Diminished vision is the most common ocular symptom observed in our study and it substantiates with L. bajaracharya et al observation of 62% of patients having blurring of vision as most common symptom in CRF patients. In our study the most common reason for the visual impairment was due to maculopathy 9.75, followed by cataracts which accounts for 5.8% followed by PDR 3.75% and it is similar to the observations made by L. bajaracharya et al which showed maculopathy as cause of visual impairment in 23(9.7%) eyes 238 eyes, followed by cataract 14 eyes (5.9%) and PDR in 9 eyes (3.8%) ⁵⁸.

A. Ocular manifestations:

1. **Eye lid manifestations**:

   Lid puffiness (62.5%) was the most common sign observed in eye lids of CRF patients. It is consistent with the signs observed by L. bajaracharya et al which was 63% of the total cases ⁵⁸.

   This observation is statistically significant and can be regarded as consistent finding in CRF.

2. **Anterior segment manifestations**:

Conjunctival pallor was the most common sign in anterior segment observed in this study.,
75% followed by pinguecula and dry eye and it is consistent with the observations made by L.bajracharya et al ; it was 75.6% of total cases. Being statistically significant it can be regarded as consistent finding in CRF. Corneal and conjunctival calcifications were present only in ESRD group. calcification was near the nasal and temporal limbus with a lucid interval and did not affect the vision, were observed in 1.75% in our study this was not consistent with the observations of other studies by bourquia a et al, they reported that 36.0% of patients in their study had corneal and conjunctival calcifications. And the studies by d pahor et al and pa Michaud et al showed that calcification occurs in 60.0 to 80.0%. A positive correlation of the soft tissue calcification with the duration of hemodialysis in studies done by bourquia et al and Brenner barry et al.

3. Intra ocular pressure

Mean intra ocular pressure in patients of CRF was 12.7±3.3 mmhg.2 cases in our study reported neovascular glaucoma with IOP of 43.4, 40.2 mmhg. In the study done in Italy, average IOP of CRF patients was slightly less than the control group(14.9±2mmhg versus 15.6±1.9mmhg with p=0.07).our study did not have control group

4. Posterior segment manifestations :

The most important and vision threatening findings were in the posterior segment

a. Hypertensive retinopathy :

In our study 47% of total patients with CRF had hypertensive retinopathy. It was more prevalent as the renal disease progressed and it is statistically significant with p value 0.0001. the findings in our study correlated well with the studies done by L.bajracharya et al., m popa et al and stibor et al. Grade 4 hypertensive retinopathy with optic disc edema was present only in severe CRF. Grade 4 hypertensive retinopathy occurred in 7 patients with stage 4 and stage 5 CRF.

b. Diabetic retinopathy :

In the present study 89% of total patients with CRF had diabetic retinopathy. mild diabetic retinopathy was mostly seen in stage 1 and 2 CRF but moderate, severe and PDR were seen in higher stages of CRF. this study correlates with observations seen in other studies done by L. bajracharya et al, ley AM et al, T Schleiffer et al and M Goldstein et al. diabetic retinopathy is invariably present in cases of diabetic nephropathy and that more severe forms of retinopathy are detected as renal disease progresses.

c. Clinically significant macular edema :

Overall 80 eyes had clinically significant macular edema, most of which 64 eyes (32 cases) were related to diabetic retinopathy and only16 eyes (8cases) were associated with hypertensive retinopathy. It correlated with observations seen by L. Bajracharya et al.

d. Maculopathy:

All types of maculopathy focal 26eyes, diffuse 24eyes and chronic 30 eyes were
detected and correlated with observations seen by L. Bajracharya et al.  

e. Retinal detachment:

There were one case of bilateral, exudative type retinal detachment in patients with severe grade of renal disease, all the findings and management were similar with other case reports given by M Goldstein et al, HP Liao et al, I Steiness et al, A Hornblass et al and P Sharpstone et al.  

All the cases of grade 3 and 4 hypertensive retinopathy were detected for the first time during this study. Grade 3 and 4 hypertensive retinopathy has bad prognosis and this may alert the physician for more aggressive management of blood pressure. Among the diabetic retinopathy patients there was 6 PDR, 12 severe diabetic retinopathy and 7 very severe diabetic retinopathy all never treated before and Several patients were advised urgent laser treatment in the retina.

SUMMARY

Our study was done from November 2011 to September 2013 on 200 consecutive patients, who were admitted in nephrology ward, Kurnool medical college, Kurnool.

In our study, we aimed to prospectively analyze the ocular manifestations among CRF patients.

A detailed history, detailed ophthalmic examination was done for all the cases and recorded.

When CRF patients were evaluated the patients in the age group of 40-80 years were commonly affected. There was a definite male preponderance among the cases. The most common aetiological factor leading to CRF in these patients is diabetes mellitus followed by hypertension and some are due to glomerulonephritis and Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease.

Patients with CRF were staged using CGF formula in to stage 1-5 as per Staging. Most of the patients in the present study were with milder stages of CRF and ocular screening of CRF patients in their early stages is important observation in our study as many patients were advised necessary treatment before they become irreversibly visually impaired.

Diminished vision was the most common symptom seen with CRF patients and it was commonly attributed to maculopathy followed by cataract and PDR. Lid puffiness and conjunctival pallor were the most common signs observed in CRF patients. These findings are statistically significant and can be regarded as consistent finding in CRF.

Among the posterior segment signs hypertensive retinopathy findings are most common followed by diabetic retinopathy. The severity of retinopathy was more as the renal disease progressed.

The following measures would help in preventing irreversible visual loss in CRF patients.

1) A Detailed ophthalmic examination is important for all newly diagnosed cases of CRF.
2) A Strict metabolic control and ocular screening are important in all cases of diabetic nephropathy.
3) A Strict blood pressure control is important in all cases of hypertension.

CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions are drawn from our study.

1. Ocular manifestations are common in CRF patients.
2. The most frequently encountered manifestations in CRF is conjunctival pallor and lid edema.
3. The most common cause of CRF in our study is diabetes mellitus.
4. The most commonly observed reason for diminished vision in CRF patients is maculopathy.
5. Hypertensive retinopathy progresses as renal disease progresses from grade 1 to grade 4.
6. Diabetic retinopathy is present invariably in all cases of diabetic nephropathy and more severe forms of retinopathy are detected as renal disease progresses.
7. Regular screening for hypertensive retinopathy in cases of CRF can alert physician for more aggressive management of blood pressure.
8. Regular screening for diabetic retinopathy in cases of CRF can help patients with early intervention with laser photocoagulation and alert physician for more aggressive management of diabetes.
9. Detailed ocular examination should be undertaken in patients with CRF.
10. If the patient has positive history abnormal renal status, he should undergo close follow up because they are at an increased risk of visual loss.
11. Awareness is needed of the potential ocular complications of disease process.
12. Retinopathy is often asymptomatic in its most treatable stage, delay in diagnosis can result in significant increase in the patient’s risk of visual loss.
13. Ocular condition is an indicator of the metabolic control of the disease process.
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